

POSTCOLONIAL INFLUENCES ON ECOCRITICAL READINGS OF ARUNDHATI ROY

Ipsita Das

Assistant Professor of English
P.G. Department of Language and Literature
Fakir Mohan University
Balasore, Odisha

Dr. Manas Ranjan Samal

Retired Reader of English
Siddheswar Degree College
Jaleswar

Abstract

This article explores postcolonial ecocriticism in the writings of Arundhati Roy, examining how her fiction and nonfiction reveal the interconnectedness of ecological degradation and postcolonial power structures in contemporary India. Roy's works portray landscapes, rivers, forests, and urban spaces not as passive backdrops but as politically charged sites shaped by colonial legacies, state violence, and neoliberal development. Through texts such as *The God of Small Things*, *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*, *The Greater Common Good*, and *Walking with the Comrades*, Roy exposes how large-scale development projects, military occupation, and resource extraction disproportionately affect marginalized communities, especially Adivasis, Dalits, and the rural poor. Her narratives emphasize that environmental destruction cannot be separated from issues of caste, class, gender, and political oppression. By blending literary artistry with activist critique, Roy positions ecological justice as a central component of postcolonial resistance. This article argues that Roy's work offers a powerful and urgent postcolonial ecocritical perspective that challenges dominant narratives of progress and reimagines more equitable human–nature relationships.

Keywords: Postcolonialism, Ecocriticism, Environment, Justice, Resistance, Sustainability

Introduction

Postcolonial ecocriticism explores how colonialism has shaped both cultures and environments, arguing that imperialism involved not only political domination but also ecological exploitation. It examines how colonized lands were transformed through resource extraction, plantations, and displacement of Indigenous peoples, impacts that continue in today's environmental crises. This approach analyzes literature from formerly colonized regions to reveal Indigenous ecological knowledge, cultural ties to land, and resistance to environmental injustice. Ultimately, postcolonial ecocriticism highlights how ecological harm and climate vulnerability disproportionately affect marginalized communities, using literature to understand these histories and imagine more just, sustainable futures.

It has emerged as an important theoretical framework for understanding how environmental issues intersect with histories of colonialism, political power, and social inequality. In the Indian context, this approach is particularly significant because ecological degradation often overlaps with the ongoing struggles of marginalized communities who continue to bear the consequences of both colonial exploitation and postcolonial development policies. Among contemporary writers, Arundhati Roy stands out as a powerful voice whose fiction and nonfiction articulate a profound critique of the ecological and political crises shaping modern India. Her works move beyond traditional environmental writing by revealing how deforestation, displacement, industrial pollution, militarization, and the commodification of natural resources are tied to the lived experiences of Adivasis, Dalits, women, and the rural poor.

Roy's narratives from *The God of Small Things* to *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* and her essays such as *The Greater Common Good* portray landscapes as deeply political spaces where questions of justice, identity, and survival are negotiated. She challenges state narratives of "progress" and exposes the continuation of colonial attitudes within contemporary developmental and corporate structures. Through her blending of literary storytelling and activist commentary, Roy situates environmental destruction within a broader framework of human rights and social justice. This introduction sets the stage for examining how Roy's body of work exemplifies the principles of postcolonial ecocriticism and offers an urgent critique of the ecological injustices of the present.

Arundhati Roy's literary and nonfictional oeuvre exhibits a remarkable ecological consciousness deeply intertwined with postcolonial critique, revealing how environmental degradation and social inequities are mutually reinforcing. In her fiction, particularly *The God of Small Things*, Roy foregrounds the natural world as a dynamic participant in human life, illustrating how ecological systems bear witness to social, political, and historical processes. Rivers, in particular, occupy a central symbolic and literal role. The Meenachal River in Ayemenem, for instance, is not merely a geographical landmark; it functions as a moral witness to human behavior and the ramifications of social injustice. Roy writes:

"The river had stolen the boat, and it had stolen the rope, and it had stolen the children's afternoon, and it had stolen the secret that the small things had carried for so long" (Roy, *The God of Small Things*).

This depiction encapsulates the river's dual role as both active participant and metaphorical mirror: it absorbs the consequences of human action while reflecting the societal structures: caste hierarchies, historical legacies, and patriarchal norms, that shape and often constrain human lives. The river's vitality and disruptions reflect the interconnection between

ecological and social systems, emphasizing that environmental degradation in postcolonial contexts is inseparable from social inequality. Forests, gardens, and cultivated lands in Roy's fiction serve as intricate sites of memory, culture, and ecological interdependence. In *The God of Small Things*, the Ayemenem garden exemplifies how human and non-human ecologies are intertwined, reflecting social histories and emotional states. Roy's description underscores this connection:

“The garden was an unruly riot of green. It had a life of its own, and it had a memory of every footstep that had ever wandered through it” (Roy, *The God of Small Things*).

Here, vegetation is not merely ornamental; it embodies historical consciousness and ethical reflection, illustrating how ecological spaces are deeply entangled with human memory, trauma, and agency. The garden becomes a space where social transgressions, familial bonds, and environmental interrelations converge, highlighting the relational ethics of human-nature interactions. Industrial plantations, deforestation, or infrastructural interventions, by contrast, represent disruptions to both ecological and social networks, showing that postcolonial development often perpetuates forms of environmental and human exploitation rooted in historical patterns of colonial extraction.

Water bodies in Roy's fiction and nonfiction are depicted as morally charged spaces, where contamination or disruption mirrors social inequities. In *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*, urban rivers, encroached wetlands, and diverted streams are portrayed as sites where human intervention, militarization, and industrialization wreak havoc on both human and non-human life. These spaces are deeply intertwined with the histories of displacement and marginalization, reflecting Roy's insistence that ecological harm cannot be understood outside the framework of postcolonial, social and political structures. Similarly, in her nonfiction, Roy addresses the ecological consequences of large-scale infrastructure projects, especially dams, and their impact on human communities. She observes in her essay *The Greater Common Good* that “dams are not built for the people who live with the rivers, but for faraway cities and corporate profit. And it is the rivers that suffer first” (Roy, *The Greater Common Good*). This statement demonstrates her insistence that ecological and social harm are interdependent: the disruption of river systems is inseparable from the displacement and disenfranchisement of marginalized populations, reflecting the postcolonial entanglement of environmental degradation and historical inequities.

Non-human life in Roy's writing is granted agency and significance, reflecting a relational ecological ethic. In *The God of Small Things*, insects, birds, and trees are not peripheral; they actively participate in the narrative, echoing the experiences of human characters and underscoring interdependence. The death or disruption of non-human life often parallels social tragedies, reinforcing the ethical and political dimensions of ecological attention. For instance, the persistent presence of frogs and other creatures in Ayemenem's landscape mirrors both the natural vitality of the region and the human intrusions that threaten it, suggesting that ecological attention entails attentiveness to moral and historical responsibility. In nonfictional works such as *Walking with the Comrades* and various essays on environmental justice, Roy extends this concern, documenting the consequences of deforestation, industrial pollution, and urban encroachment on both human and non-human communities. The inseparability of human and ecological well-being is central, underscoring her postcolonial ecocritical perspective: environmental degradation cannot be divorced from social justice and historical responsibility. She notes:

“When forests fall, it is not only trees that die, but also the life they harbor, and the livelihoods of those who dwell with them” (Roy, *Walking with the Comrades*).

Roy’s prose style reflects and reinforces her ecological vision, with dense, lyrical, and layered narratives that allow human and non-human actors to coexist dynamically. In *The God of Small Things*, seasons, weather patterns, and ecological cycles are closely observed, revealing the interconnectedness of natural rhythms and human life. The monsoon, floods, and droughts are not incidental; they are shaped by historical, political, and social conditions, highlighting the fragility of ecological systems under human intervention. The narrative emphasizes that ecological awareness entails both ethical and historical consciousness, as natural phenomena are linked to social consequences. Similarly, nonfictional works reveal the direct impact of human development projects on environmental systems. Her observation reflects the postcolonial ecocritical lens, showing that environmental degradation is inseparable from historical patterns of exploitation and contemporary socio-political hierarchies. Roy critiques state-sanctioned industrialization, arguing that the pursuit of economic growth often disregards ecological and human costs:

“The idea of development in India has meant displacing millions of people, destroying forests, and polluting rivers in the name of progress” (Roy, *The greater common good*).

Marginalized communities, especially Dalits, Adivasis, and rural laborers, are central to Roy’s ecological narratives. Their intimate dependence on rivers, forests, and agricultural lands makes them particularly vulnerable to environmental disruption, linking social marginalization with ecological fragility. In *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*, urban displacement, militarization, and industrial pollution are depicted as threats to both human and non-human life, demonstrating the interconnection between social vulnerability and environmental degradation. In nonfictional accounts of dam projects, forest clearances, and urban expansion, Roy consistently highlights how marginalized communities bear the heaviest ecological burdens. By centering these experiences, she demonstrates that postcolonial ecocriticism must account for the ways historical oppression, economic inequality, and social marginalization intersect with environmental harm, emphasizing the ethical responsibility to recognize and mitigate these intertwined forms of violence.

Roy’s treatment of land, water, and forests also foregrounds historical and political consciousness. In her fiction, the impact of colonial and postcolonial land policies, industrialization, and state-sanctioned displacement is mirrored in ecological transformations. The loss of forests or the contamination of rivers serves as a narrative metaphor for historical and ongoing injustices, suggesting that ecological awareness is inseparable from historical and social accountability. In nonfiction, her reporting on large dam projects such as the Sardar Sarovar project illustrates how environmental disruption, social displacement, and political ambition intersect, emphasizing that ecological degradation is deeply embedded in postcolonial governance structures. She argues, “The rivers have been tamed, but the people have been uprooted. The forest has been cut down, but the corporations have grown taller” (Roy, 1999). The metaphorical and literal dimensions of these statements demonstrate her concern with the ethical and political implications of ecological disruption, emphasizing the intertwined fate of nature and human communities.

Seasons, climate, and natural cycles in Roy's fiction further illustrate the entanglement of ecological and social systems. Monsoon rains, floods, and droughts are presented as both natural phenomena and consequences of human intervention, reflecting the postcolonial condition in which colonial histories, economic policies, and social hierarchies shape ecological realities. In *The God of Small Things*, the impact of weather and environmental changes on the characters' lives underscores the fragility of postcolonial ecologies and the ethical responsibility humans bear toward their surroundings. Similarly, in nonfictional works, Roy details the consequences of industrial and developmental interventions on natural cycles, highlighting how human-induced disruptions exacerbate social inequities and ecological fragility. Her documentation of river diversions, forest clearances, and displacement illustrates the interconnectedness of political, social, and ecological processes, showing that postcolonial ecocriticism requires attention to these interdependencies.

Gardens, rivers, forests, and urban ecologies in Roy's writing are depicted as morally and ethically charged spaces. In *The God of Small Things*, the intertwining of human trauma, historical memory, and environmental disruption is made evident when she writes: It is curious how sometimes the memory of death lives on in a place, while life is elsewhere, taking its time. This statement reflects her postcolonial ecocritical vision: the environment retains memory and bears witness to human action, making ecological attention inseparable from historical and ethical responsibility. Nonfictional accounts of displacement, pollution, and industrialization extend this ethical framework, demonstrating that the survival and flourishing of both ecosystems and human communities are intertwined. Roy emphasizes that environmental destruction is not an abstract problem but one intimately tied to human histories, social hierarchies, and economic policies.

In both her fiction and nonfiction, Roy foregrounds relationality, demonstrating that humans and non-human life co-constitute one another. Rivers, forests, gardens, and wildlife are depicted as responsive, ethically aware, and historically cognizant, underscoring the interconnectedness of ecological, social, and political systems. Marginalized communities' reliance on natural resources highlights the ethical and practical imperatives of ecological care, while the destruction of these systems mirrors social injustice and historical exploitation. Her literary and nonfictional techniques lyrical prose, detailed observation, and ethical engagement underscore the inseparability of ecological attention from social and historical consciousness. Through these representations, Roy's work exemplifies postcolonial ecocriticism, illustrating that environmental awareness entails ethical responsibility, historical memory, and social justice.

Ultimately, Roy's texts construct a postcolonial ecocritical vision in which ecological and human systems are inseparably linked. In her fiction, rivers, forests, and gardens act as witnesses, moral agents, and repositories of memory, reflecting and amplifying human and social crises. In her nonfiction, her documentation of industrialization, dam construction, deforestation, and displacement reveals the tangible consequences of environmental degradation on human and non-human life alike. Her careful attention to marginalization, historical legacies, and socio-political hierarchies demonstrates that postcolonial ecocriticism requires recognition of the entangled fates of nature and society. By foregrounding relationality, ethical responsibility, and historical consciousness, Roy offers a literary and political framework in which ecological awareness is inseparable from the pursuit of social justice and postcolonial accountability.

Arundhati Roy's writings reveal a profound interconnection between the natural world and human societies, highlighting how ecological and social injustices are mutually reinforcing in postcolonial contexts. Through her rich and immersive depictions of rivers, forests, flora, and

fauna, Roy demonstrates that environmental degradation is inseparable from historical and contemporary forms of oppression, including caste hierarchies, economic exploitation, and state-driven displacement. Her texts foreground the agency and vitality of non-human life, portraying nature not merely as a backdrop but as an ethical and political participant in human affairs. By situating environmental concerns within the legacies of colonialism and the inequities of postcolonial development, Roy exemplifies a postcolonial ecocritical vision that emphasizes the relationality of humans and the environment. Ultimately, her work challenges readers to recognize that protecting ecological systems and nurturing social justice are intertwined responsibilities, and that attentiveness to the natural world is inseparable from a commitment to historical memory, ethical consciousness, and social equity.

Works Cited

- Roy, Arundhati. *The God of Small Things*. Harper Perennial, 1997.
- Roy, Arundhati. *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*. Penguin Random House India, 2017.
- Roy, Arundhati. *The Greater Common Good: Report on the Narmada Dam Project*. Penguin Books India, 1999.
- Roy, Arundhati. *Walking with the Comrades: Inside India's Maoist Movement*. Penguin, 2011.